

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

كريم

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/729,580	12/04/2003	Carlos R. Morrison	LEW 17,293-2	9878	
26311	7590 06/10/2004		EXAM	NER	
NASA GLENN RESEARCH CENTER 21000 BROOKPARK ROAD OFFICE OF CHIEF COUNSEL; MAIL STOP 500-118 CLEVELAND, OH 44135			MOHANDE	MOHANDESI, IRAJ A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2834		

DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
	10/729,580	MORRISON, CARLOS R.			
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Iraj A Mohandesi	2834			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri df rR ply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repurply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHute, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this communication. 4DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 December 2003</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disp sition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 12-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 19-22 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and the subject	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the corre	ccepted or b) objected to by e drawing(s) be held in abeyance ection is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date			
27 Notice of Information Disclosur Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/04/2003. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 18-22 are recites the limitation "the selected wave form " in claim 18 and the limitation "the waveform" in claims 19-22. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hollis Jr 4,874,998.

With regards to claims 12-16 Hollis'998 discloses a programmable software-controlled (column 9,line 66) magnetically levitated device coupled to first and second magnetic bearing (4, column 4,linens 55-65) supporting a rotor (1) to control positioning of the rotor in the bearings, the rotor having a longimdinal axis and the magnetic bearings defining a principal axis there between, each of the bearings having at least four magnetic poles (3, Fig. 1,2,3,4) with respective

magnet coils associated therewith, the voltage and/or current flow through the magnet coils generating force vectors to support the rotor for rotation relative to the bearings, the force vectors under the control of the processor to selectively position the longitudinal axis relative to the principal axis (column 10, linens, 1-40), the software (23, Fig.9) causing the processor to perform the function of: controlling the force vectors to align the rotor in its bearings so that the longitudinal axis thereof and the principal axis defined between the bearings are not axially coincident with one another and the longitudinal axis is off-set from the principal axis and the longitudinal axis is aligned non- parallel to the principal axis (see Fig. 4).

With regards to claims 17,18 Hollis'998 discloses a programmable software-controlled (column 9,line 66) magnetically levitated device, wherein the processor means provides a inherently selected waveform to be impressed on the magnetic field of the magnetic bearings and generates the selected waveform in response to execution of a set of instructions (column 9,line 24-64)

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 19-22 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. With regards to claim 19, the prior art of the record in particular Hollis'998 does not teach a supporting structure for a software-controlled processing device wherein the waveform is impressed on the rotor at a selected angle relative to a

Application/Control Number: 10/729,580

Art Unit: 2834

fixed reference coordinate system and remains stationary in that coordinate system during periods of time when the rotor is in motion.

- 5. With regards to claim 20, the prior art of the record in particular Hollis'998 does not teach a supporting structure for a software-controlled processing device wherein the waveform is impressed on the rotor at a selected angle relative to a fixed reference coordinate and rotates with the rotor when the rotor is in motion.
- 6. With regards to claim 21, the prior art of the record in particular Hollis'998 does not teach a supporting structure for a software-controlled processing device

wherein the waveform perturbation rotates in synchronism with the rotor when the rotor is in motion.

7. With regards to claim 22, the prior art of the record in particular Hollis'998 does not teach a supporting structure for a software-controlled processing device , wherein the waveform is selected from the group comprising sine waveform, sine squared waveform, cosine waveform cosine squared waveform, random waveform, square waveform, squared pulse waveform, triangular waveform, single square waveform, single triangular waveform, saw tooth waveform.

Communication

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Iraj A Mohandesi whose telephone number is 571-272-2028. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 2834

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

IM May 27, 2004

BURTON S. MULLINS